

FORM PTO-1390
(REV. 9-2001)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER

2447-015

TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES
DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US)
CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5)

10/009044

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.

PCT/AU00/01019

INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE

28 August 2000 (28.08.00)

PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED

27 August 1999 (27.08.99)

TITLE OF INVENTION

OPTIMIZING COCHLEAR IMPLANT ELECTRODE SELECTION

APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US

Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:

1. ☒ This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
2. ☐ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
3. ☐ This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)). The submission must include items (5), (6), (9) and (21) indicated below.
4. ☒ The US has been elected by the expiration of 19 months from the priority date (Article 31).
5. ☐ A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))
 - a. ☐ is attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau).
 - b. ☐ has been communicated by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☐ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).
6. ☐ An English language translation of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).
 - a. ☐ is attached hereto.
 - b. ☐ has been previously submitted under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).
7. ☐ Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3))
 - a. ☐ are attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau).
 - b. ☐ have been communicated by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☐ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
 - d. ☒ have not been made and will not be made.
8. ☐ An English language translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371 (c)(3)).
9. ☐ An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).
10. ☐ An English language translation of the annexes of the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).

Items 11 to 20 below concern document(s) or information included:

11. ☒ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
12. ☐ An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.
13. ☒ A **FIRST** preliminary amendment.
14. ☐ A **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** preliminary amendment.
15. ☐ A substitute specification.
16. ☐ A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.
17. ☐ A computer-readable form of the sequence listing in accordance with PCT Rule 13ter.2 and 35 U.S.C. 1.821 - 1.825.
18. ☒ A second copy of the published international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).
19. ☐ A second copy of the English language translation of the international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).
20. ☒ Other items or information: **Return Receipt Postcard; Patent Application Data Sheet; IPER; PCT Written Opinion; Response to PCT Written Opinion; Cheque \$**

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (if known, see 37 CFR 1.5) 10/009044	INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/AU00/01019	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 2447-015
---	--	---

21. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted:				CALCULATIONS PTO USE ONLY	
BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492 (a)-(1) - (5)):					
Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO				\$1040.00	
International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO				\$890.00	
International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO				\$740.00	
International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4)				\$710.00	
International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4)				\$100.00	
ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =				\$ 1040	
Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).				\$	
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE	\$	
Total claims	10 - 20 =	0	x \$18.00	\$	
Independent claims	3 - 3 =	0	x \$84.00	\$	
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable)			+ \$280.00	\$	
TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =				\$	
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above are reduced by 1/2.				+	
SUBTOTAL =				\$ 1040	
Dessing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).				\$	
TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =				\$ 1040	
for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property +				\$	
TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =				\$ 1040	
				Amount to be refunded:	\$
				charged:	\$


- a. ☒ A check in the amount of \$ 1040 to cover the above fees is enclosed.
- b. ☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. 07-1730 in the amount of \$ 1040 to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- c. ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 07-1730. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- d. ☐ Fees are to be charged to a credit card. **WARNING:** Information on this form may become public. **Credit card information should not be included on this form.** Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137 (a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.

SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

WEISZ, Tiberiu

GOTTLIEB, RACKMAN & REISMAN, P.C.
270 MADISON AVENUE
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10016-0601


SIGNATURE

WEISZ, Tiberiu
NAME

29,876
REGISTRATION NUMBER

10/009044

PCT/AU00/01019

2447-015

21. ☒ The following fees are submitted:**BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492 (a)-(1) - (5)):**

Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482)

nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO

and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO..... \$1040.00

International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to
USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO \$890.00International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO
but international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO \$740.00International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO
but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) \$710.00International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO
and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) \$100.00**ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =**

CALCULATIONS PTO USE ONLY

\$ 1040

Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than ☐ 20 ☐ 30
months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).

\$

CLAIMS NUMBER FILED NUMBER EXTRA RATE

Total claims 10 - 20 = 0 x \$18.00

Independent claims 3 - 3 = 0 x \$84.00

MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable) + \$280.00

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =

\$

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above
are reduced by 1/2. +

\$

SUBTOTAL =

\$ 1040

Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than ☐ 20 ☐ 30
months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).

\$

TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =

\$ 1040

for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be
accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property +

\$

TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =

\$ 1040

Amount to be
refunded:

\$

charged:

\$

a. ☒ A check in the amount of \$ 1040 to cover the above fees is enclosed.b. ☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. 07-1730 in the amount of \$ 1040 to cover the above fees.
A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.c. ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any
overpayment to Deposit Account No. 07-1730. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.d. ☐ Fees are to be charged to a credit card. **WARNING:** Information on this form may become public. Credit card
information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.**NOTE:** Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR
1.137 (a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.

SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

WEISZ, TiberiuGOTTLIEB, RACKMAN & REISMAN, P.C.
270 MADISON AVENUE
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10016-0601

SIGNATURE

WEISZ, Tiberiu
NAME**29,876**

REGISTRATION NUMBER

Attorney Docket No.: 2447-015

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
UNITED STATES RECEIVING OFFICE OF THE
PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (35 USC §371)


U.S. Applicant : STECKER, Mathias *et al*
U.S. Serial No. : To Be Assigned
U.S. Filing Date : Herewith
International Applicant : COCHLEAR LIMITED
International Application No. : PCT/AU00/01019
International Filing Date : 28 August 2000 (28.08.00)
Earliest Claimed Priority : 27 August 1999 (08.27.99)
Title : OPTIMIZING COCHLEAR IMPLANT ELECTRODE
SELECTION
Examiner : To Be Assigned
Group Art Unit : To Be Assigned

Box **PCT**
Attn: National Phase Processing Division
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 2327
Arlington, VA 22202

CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAIL UNDER 37 CFR §1.10

Express Mail Label # EL803336218US
Date of Deposit December 3, 2001

I hereby certify that this paper and/or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service on the date indicated above and is address to: Box PCT, Attn: National Phase Processing Division, U.S. Patent & Trademark Office, P.O. Box 2327, Arlington, VA 22202.


Belinda Hunter

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

S I R :

Prior to substantive examination, kindly amend the present application by inserting an Abstract at new page 12, as follows:

SPECIFICATION IN CLEAN FORM

12

ABSTRACT

A method is described to allow for better selection of electrodes for neural stimulation, for example in a cochlear implant. A series of stimuli, at different stimulation levels, are provided at each electrode to be tested, and the neural response to each stimulus is measured, using an implanted electrode. A value is then calculated relating stimulus level to response, to allow the relative responsiveness of electrodes to be determined. This can then be used as the basis for a stimulation map used to select which electrodes are stimulated and at what level.

REMARKS


Insertion of the above abstract is consistent with the international counterpart patent publication PCT/AU00/01019 (WO 01/15773).

Dated: December 3, 2001
New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

GOTTLIEB RACKMAN & REISMAN PC
Attorneys for Applicant
270 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10016-0601
Telephone: 212/684-3900
Telefax: 212/684-3999

By: _____


Tiberiu WEISZ
Reg. No. 29,876

7/pstb

OPTIMIZING COCHLEAR IMPLANT ELECTRODE SELECTION

Technical Field

The present invention relates to methods for optimising the selection of electrodes for stimulation in neural stimulation devices such as cochlear implants, and devices utilising such methods.

Background Art

Post-implantation, recipients of intra-cochlear implants exhibit considerable variation in speech perception performance. Such variability may have many reasons - one explanation is a non-homogeneous spatial distribution of residual VIIIth nerve fibers or of the interface between nerve fibers and electrodes. When comparing different stimulation sites within the cochlea during speech processor fitting, patients sometimes report differences in sound quality. Deactivation of those electrodes with minor quality or distorted sound perception often improves general sound sensation and speech understanding. Furthermore it has been reported that selecting different electrode groups for Continuous Interleaved Sampling (CIS) stimulation has significant influence on speech perception in a number of subjects (Wilson BS, Finley CC, Lawson DT, et al. Design and evaluation of a continuous interleaved sampling (CIS) processing strategy for multichannel cochlear implants. J Rehabil Res Dev 1993; 30:110-116.)

At present, the process for selecting which electrodes should be utilised requires eliciting comments from the patient as to whether or not the benefit of the prosthesis is improved or decreased upon making an adjustment to the electrodes being used for stimulation. There are a number of problems associated with this prior art approach. For example the adjustment is not made according to any quantitative parameter but rather is based on the somewhat subjective judgements/reactions of the patient. Furthermore some patients, for example young children, may not be able to readily indicate an improvement or decrease in the quality of their hearing perception during the adjustment process. Yet a further problem is that the present approach does not readily lend itself to automation, relying as it does on trial and error, and the conscious feedback of the patient.

It is an object of the present invention to provide a method, capable of automatic operation, for selecting good and poor electrodes and for utilising this information to select electrodes for stimulation.

Summary of the Invention

5 In a broad form, the present invention provides a method for determining which electrodes in a multi-electrode neural stimulator device are working well or poorly, by conducting a series of tests on each electrode and measuring the evoked response to the stimulation, for various levels of stimulation and for each electrode of interest, and calculating a value relating stimulus level to response
10 level for each electrode.

In one aspect the present invention provides a method for determining the relative responsiveness of electrodes in an implanted multi-electrode intracochlear prosthesis, including the steps of

(a) measuring the amplitude of the evoked response to a set of stimuli at different stimulation levels for one of the electrodes in said prosthesis;

(b) calculating a value relating the evoked responses to the stimulus levels for each electrode;

(c) repeating steps (a) and (b) for each electrode for which data is required;
and

(d) determining the relative responsiveness of the electrodes by comparing the values of step (b) for the tested electrodes. Preferably, step (b) is performed by deriving the slope of the best fit regression line on a plot of stimulus level against peak to peak amplitude of evoked response. However, other derived values may be used, for example some other parameter of the evoked response,
25 or the simple value of the peak to peak amplitude. The invention also contemplates using combinations of stimulating electrodes to determine which combination is most effective.

In a preferred use of the data on responsiveness, consideration is given also to the spectral distribution of the electrodes. For example, the steepest
30 slopes may be clustered in a particular frequency range, so that to select only these electrodes will produce an unbalanced distribution of electrodes for distribution. It is preferred to balance the selection of electrodes only on

10069044-043000

responsiveness with selection so as to provide a more even distribution of stimulation sites.

The values from the method can then be used to determine which electrodes, for example, are performing most poorly and exclude these from a stimulation strategy map. More sophisticated uses of this information are of course possible - prior to the present invention, no such data had been utilised to objectively measure electrode performance.

It is considered that stimulation sites with a steeper growth function contribute more to speech perception performance than stimulation sites with shallower growth function, and that the specific electrode selection based on telemetry measurements will improve speech-coding performance. The present invention provides a way to improve speech processor fitting by using predominantly those stimulation sites with a relatively steep electrically evoked compound action potential (ECAP) amplitude growth function.

There are several reasons why the slope of the amplitude growth function has been chosen as a stimulation site assessment tool. In clinical practice, a large variability in the slope of the ECAP amplitude growth function has been found. This variability has been observed both within subject (over the electrode array) as well as between subjects.

In comparison to other response parameters such as amplitude, latency or dynamic range, the slope of the amplitude growth function is a more robust parameter because it is based on statistical regression calculation. It is postulated that with increasing stimulus level, the number of responding nerve cells - and thus the amplitude of the neural response - will grow faster if the stimulation site is located in an area of high ganglion cell density, compared to an area with low spiral ganglion density.

The present invention also includes a device adapted to perform the method as described.

Description

One implementation of the present invention will now be described in more detail with respect to the accompanying figures, in which:

Figure 1 is a graph showing an example of a recorded ECAP using NRT™;

Figure 2 is a graph showing the amplitude growth function for an electrode;

Figure 3 shows ECAPs from subject WW for electrodes 4 and 18;

Figure 4 is a graph of ECAP amplitude growth functions against stimulating electrode;

5 Figure 5 shows schematically the steep and shallow electrodes as selected in the example; and

Figure 6 is a graph showing the results of speech comprehension tests; and

Figure 7 shows clustering upon selection of the 4 "steepest" and "shallowest" electrodes.

Figure 8 shows the degree of clustering dependent on a given factor.

It will be appreciated that the present invention may be implemented utilising any suitable cochlear implant and speech processor. It is highly desirable that a telemetry system be provided as part of the implant system, to facilitate the ready acquisition of the evoked neural response data discussed. It will be appreciated that alternative measures of neural response could equally be utilised to implement the present invention. The invention could also be applied to other neural stimulation situations.

The example discussed below utilises Neural Response Telemetry™ (NRT™) as implemented in the Nucleus® N24 CI-system (Abbas PJ, Brown CJ, Shallop JK, et al. Summary of results using the Nucleus CI24M Implant to record the electrically evoked compound action potential. Ear & Hearing 1999; 20:45-59; Stypulkowski PH, van den Honert C, Kvistad SD. Electrophysiologic evaluation of the cochlear implant patient. Otolaryngol Clin North Am 1986; 19:249-257).

25 This technique has at least two advantages over conventional Electrical Evoked Auditory Brainstem Responses (EABR): there is no need for surface electrodes, sedation or additional averaging equipment, and it can deliver direct site specific information about the spatial distribution of neural activity.

30 To assess and categorize the 22 intracochlear electrodes as either "poor" or "good", this specific technique uses a measurement of the slope of the amplitude growth function of the Compound Action Potential (CAP).

Example

The following example illustrates how the technique of the present invention can be implemented in real patent situations.

Two German speaking patients with more than one year CI-experience and good performance were recruited for this study. Subject profiles are summarized in the following table.

Subject Profiles

Subject initials	WW	EK
Gender	male	female
Age (years)	72	38
Aetiology	progressive	progressive

Both subjects use the body worn SPrint™ speech processor, and were tuned up with SPEAK™ speech processing strategy. Six months prior to this experiment, both were converted to ACE™ speech processing strategy. Previous to the study described here, the subjects had not been exposed to a CIS coding strategy.

NRT™ measurements were recorded using electrodes 20 to one as the stimulating electrode pair, with the recording electrode spaced two electrodes apart, apically from the stimulation site. The NRT™-software version 2.04 developed at the ENT Department of the University Hospital Zurich was used. The standard parameter settings being as follows:

NRT™ stimulation and recording parameters for both subjects

Stim. Electrode No.:	1 ... 20
Rec. electrode No.:	3 ... 22
Stim. mode:	MP1
Rec. mode:	MP2
Pulse rate:	80pps
Rec. window	1.6 ms

	Delay	WW: 74 μ s, EK: 47 μ s
	No. of averages:	WW: 100, EK: 200
5	Pulse width:	25 μ s/phase
	Masker advance:	50 μ s
10	Masker level:	WW: probe level + 5 CL; EK: fix at LAPL

The delay is measured from the end of the probe stimulus to the start of the recording.

Gain and delay of the recording system were optimized for the subjects (individually). The parameters were held constant for all measured electrodes.

Beginning at the loudest acceptable level (LAPL), a series of up to nine recordings were made with stimulation levels at 5 CL intervals in descending order.

For each recording, the peak to peak amplitudes were determined and linear regression lines were calculated to estimate the slope of the corresponding amplitude growth functions (fig. 1 and 2). If necessary, prior to calculation of the regression function, outliers were excluded to maximize the correlation coefficient. However, each calculated slope is based on a minimum of four points. Most of the outliers excluded were either measured within the noise floor, showed a saturation effect at high stimulation levels or the amplitude of these recordings could not be reliably measured because N1 had too short a latency to be captured.

The estimated amplitude growth slopes were plotted over the stimulation sites separately for each subject and divided in two groups, using the median value as the divider. Stimulation sites with an amplitude growth slope above the median value formed the group of "steep stimulation sites", those showing a slope below the median were labelled "shallow stimulation sites".

For subject WW, two 9-channel CIS maps, one using predominantly "steep" stimulation sites and one using predominantly "shallow" stimulation sites were generated. When selecting the stimulation sites, clustering of active

stimulation sites was avoided. Instead, "steep" and "shallow" sites across the entire length of the electrode array were used. The result was termed a "steep mix" CIS (using mainly "steep" stimulation sites) and a "shallow mix" CIS (using mainly "shallow" stimulation sites). The stimulation rate used for both CIS maps was 1200pps - the same rate as used in the subject's previous ACE™ maps.

For subject EK, the electrode array from electrode 20 to 1 was divided into four consecutive segments of five electrodes each. The stimulation site of each segment showing the steepest amplitude growth function was selected for a "steep" 4-channel CIS map, while the site with the shallowest growth function in each segment was selected for a "shallow" 4-channel CIS map. Because EK's previous ACE™ map used 1800pps stimulation rate, the two 4-channel CIS maps were programmed using this same stimulation rate. In addition to the two CIS maps, a modified ACE™ map was also tested. The only difference to the subject's previous ACE™ map was that the four stimulation sites showing the shallowest amplitude growth function were deactivated.

Prior to speech comprehension testing, the subjects were given a few minutes familiarization with the new maps. For WW, Göttingen Sentences in noise presented at 70 dB SPL with +10 dB S/N were used. For EK, Freiburg Numbers in noise, presented at 70 dB SPL with 0 dB S/N and Freiburg Monosyllabic Words presented at 70 dB SPL in quiet were used.

With both patients, ECAP amplitude growth functions could be calculated on all 20 measured electrodes. Figure 3 shows two measurement series from patient WW. On electrode four, the ECAP showed a relatively steep amplitude growth and a high threshold. In contrast, the neural response on electrode 18 has a relatively shallow growth function and a relatively low threshold.

Compared to other NRT™ parameters such as the response threshold or maximum amplitude, the slope of the amplitude growth function showed the largest variability along the electrode array. For WW the minimum slope was 4.8 $\mu\text{V/CL}$, the maximum 14.9 $\mu\text{V/CL}$. The mean was 10.5 $\mu\text{V/CL}$, the median 10.4 $\mu\text{V/CL}$. For EK the minimum amplitude growth slope was 4.2 $\mu\text{V/CL}$, the maximum 13.5 $\mu\text{V/CL}$. The mean was 7.0 $\mu\text{V/CL}$ and the median 6.6 $\mu\text{V/CL}$.

The distribution of the slope values along the electrode array is shown in figure 4. Subject WW has a steep amplitude growth in the basal part of the cochlea. Towards the apical end a monotonously decreasing slope was measured. With subject EK, particularly across the medial to apical part of the electrode array, the slope of the ECAP amplitude growth function seems to be more uniform.

According to the methods described previously, two alternative CIS maps for each subject were created: a "steep" map and a "shallow" map. Figure 5 shows the spatial distribution of the selected electrodes of each map.

Both subjects were surprised by the different sound quality compared to their familiar ACE™ map. All CIS maps sounded significantly higher in pitch compared to ACE™.

Without knowing which of the two CIS maps was presented, subject WW spontaneously preferred the "steep" 9-channel CIS map compared to the "shallow" 9-channel CIS map. The "shallow" map was described as "muffled", "distorted" and "metallic".

Subject EK did not accept the quality of any of the 4-channel CIS maps, reporting the sound as "extremely metallic" and "distorted". With the modified ACE™ map excluding the four shallowest stimulation sites (19, 17, 14, 10) EK noted a "slightly sharper" sound, compared with the familiar ACE™ map.

These subjective impressions correspond to the results of the speech comprehension tests performed during the same session (fig. 6).

Subject WW showed better performance using the CIS map with "steep" stimulation sites (77%) than with the "shallow" CIS map (32%). The "steep" CIS map scores are comparable to the result obtained with the previous ACE™ map (84%).

With subject EK, no significant differences were observed, on any test measure ("steep" CIS 40%, "shallow" CIS 47%, two digit numbers 0dB S/N). Also, comparison of the familiar ACE™ with the modified ACE™ (without the four "shallowest" electrodes) showed no significant difference in speech recognition performance (familiar ACE™ 40%, modified ACE™ 53%, monosyllables in quiet).

Selecting the four steepest/shallowest electrodes results in clustering (see figure 9). A trade off between uniform distribution and weighting according to slope needs to be made. A factor has been introduced which equals 1 for a perfectly equal distribution, and 0 for total clustering (see figure 10).

- 5 Multidimensional regression analysis on a group of subjects revealed that speech perception is strongly positively correlated to this factor. Further analysis needs to be made about the distribution of the weight of the different parameters (equal distribution/slop differences) in individual subjects (showing strong slope variability vs homogeneous slope distribution).

- 10 Subject WW demonstrated remarkable improvement in speech understanding scores and sound quality when a CIS map using electrodes with steep ECAP growth function was used. In subject EK, the more uniform distribution of the ECAP amplitude growth function slope over stimulation sites might be interpreted as a fairly homogeneous distribution of surviving ganglion
5 cell density. In this case differences in speech understanding with changing the location of stimulation sites might not be expected to the same extent, and indeed, were not demonstrated in this study. Additionally, the small number of channels (4 channels) used for the CIS maps, compared to her familiar 22-channel ACE™ map, may account for her lack of differentiation between them.

- 10 In any regard, the above example illustrates how the present invention can be implemented in a practical way and the results suggest that choosing a specific electrode set is an effective way to improve speech perception performance. Especially when using the slope of the ECAP amplitude growth function as the criterion, the speech perception score may increase considerably.
25 Other relationships between the stimulus and the neural response to the stimulus may also be used to assist in discriminating between poor and good electrodes, as discussed above, with other relationships falling within the scope of this invention.

- 30 It will be appreciated that the example described relates to a very specific set of parameters and strategies, and that the invention is in no way limited to such aspects. Variations and additions are possible within the spirit and scope of the invention, as will be apparent to those skilled in the art.

CLAIMS:

1. In a multi-electrode neural stimulation system, a method for determining which electrodes are working well or poorly, including the steps of:

providing a series of stimuli using each electrode, and measuring the neural response to said stimuli using implanted electrodes, said stimuli having different stimulus levels; and

calculating a value relating stimulus level to response level for each electrode.

2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the electrodes used for measurement are one or more of the stimulating electrodes.

3. A method according to claim 1, wherein the system is an intra-cochlear prosthesis.

4. A method according to claim 3, wherein the neural response measured is the amplitude of evoked neural response.

5. A method for determining the relative responsiveness of electrodes in a multi-electrode intracochlear prosthesis, including the steps of

(a) measuring the amplitude of the evoked response to a set of stimuli at different stimulation levels for one of the electrodes in said prosthesis;

(b) calculating a value relating the evoked responses to the stimulus levels for each electrode;

(c) repeating steps (a) and (b) for each electrode for which data is required; and

(d) determining the relative responsiveness of the electrodes by comparing the values of step (b) for the tested electrodes.

6. A method according to claim 5, wherein step (b) is performed by deriving the slope of the best fit regression line on a plot of stimulus level against peak to peak amplitude of evoked response.

7. A method according to claim 6, wherein step (b) is performed by deriving the value of the peak to peak amplitude of said evoked response.
8. A method according to claim 5, wherein the relative responsiveness according to step (d) is used to construct an electrode map for selecting electrodes for stimulation.
9. A method according to claim 8, wherein the electrode map is modified in response also to the spectral distribution of the most responsive electrodes, so as to provide a more even distribution of electrodes selected for stimulation.
10. A device adapted to perform the method according to any one of the preceding claims.

200510-44060007

1/7




Fig 1.




Fig 2.

2/7




Fig 3.

3/7




Fig 4.

4/7




Fig 5.

5/7





Fig 6.

200E40" 4406000T

6/7

10/009044





Fig 7.



normalised mean difference from optimal distance

Fig 8.

Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled **OPTIMIZING COCHLEAR IMPLANT ELECTRODE SELECTION** the specification of which

(check one) ☐ is attached hereto. ☒ was filed on December 3, 2001, as United States Application Serial No. 09/10/009,044 or PCT International Application No. PCT/AU00/01019, and was amended on 10/13/2002 (if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 (a)-(d) or 365(b) of any application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, or Title 35, United States Code, §371, I claim below and have also identified below, by checking the appropriate box, any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or of any PCT application having a filing date before that of the application on which this application is claimed:

Foreign Application(s)	Priority claimed
499 Australia 27 August 1999	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(Number) (Country) Day/month/year filed	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(Number) (Country) Day/month/year filed	

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

(Application No.) (Filing Date)

(Application No.) (Filing Date)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

(Application No.) (Filing date) (Status - patented, pending, abandoned)

(Application No.) (Filing date) (Status - patented, pending, abandoned)

And I hereby appoint George Gottlieb (Reg.No. 22,035) Jeffrey M. Kaden (Reg. No. 31,268)
 Michael I. Rackman (Reg.No. 20,639) Amy B. Goldsmith (Reg. No. 33,700)
 James Reisman (Reg.No. 22,007) Norbert P. Holler (Reg.No. 17,816)
 Barry A. Cooper (Reg.No. 25,204) Tiberiu Weisz (Reg. No. 29,876)
 David S. Kashman (Reg.No. 28,725) Maria A. Savio (Reg. No. 31,565)
 Allen I. Rubenstein (Reg.No. 27,673) Raymond B. Churchill (Reg. No. 44,617)

whose address is Gottlieb, Rackman & Reisman, P.C., 270 Madison Avenue, New York NY 10016-0601 (telephone (212) 684-3900), jointly and severally, as my attorneys and/or agents, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Direct all correspondence and telephone calls to: Tiberiu WEISZ, Esq. at the address and telephone number shown above.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

I name of sole or first inventor CAFARELLI-DEES, Denise Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence The Baechus High Street, West Meon Near Petersfield, Hants. GU32 1LJ, United
 Citizenship Kingdom
 Post Office Address United States of America
West Meon Near Petersfield, Hants. GU32 1LJ, United Kingdom

I name of second joint inventor, if any VON WALLENBERG, Ernst Ludwig Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Gerbergasse 10A, D-79379, Muelheim, Germany
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address D-79379, Muelheim, Germany

I name of third joint inventor, if any DILLER, Norbert Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Tollwiesstrasse 19, CH-8700, Kusknacht, Switzerland
 Citizenship Switzerland
 Post Office Address CH-8700, Kusknacht, Switzerland

Full name of fourth joint inventor, if any LAI, Wai Kong Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Thurwiesenstrasse 7, CH-8037, Zurich, Switzerland
 Citizenship Malaysia
 Post Office Address CH-8037, Zurich, Switzerland

Full name of fifth joint inventor, if any NICOLAI, Jochen Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Muespacherstrasse 38, CH-4055, Basel, Switzerland
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address CH-4055, Basel, Switzerland

Full name of sixth joint inventor, if any STECKER, Mathias Date 20.5.02
 Inventor's Signature Mathias Stecker
 Residence Ruetteberg 11, D-79294 Soelden, Germany
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address D-79294 Soelden, Germany

And I hereby appoint George Gottlieb (Reg.No. 22,035) Jeffrey M. Kaden (Reg. No. 31,268)
 Michael I. Rackman (Reg.No. 20,639) Amy B. Goldsmith (Reg. No. 33,700)
 James Reisman (Reg.No. 22,007) Norbert P. Holler (Reg.No. 17,816)
 Barry A. Cooper (Reg.No. 25,204) Tiberiu Weisz (Reg. No. 29,876)
 David S. Kashman (Reg.No. 28,725) Maria A. Savio (Reg. No. 31,565)
 Allen I. Rubenstein (Reg.No. 27,673) Raymond B. Churchill (Reg. No. 44,617)

whose address is Gottlieb, Rackman & Reisman, P.C., 270 Madison Avenue, New York NY 10016-0601 (telephone (212) 684-3900), jointly and severally, as my attorneys and/or agents, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Direct all correspondence and telephone calls to: Tiberiu WEISZ, Esq. at the address and telephone number shown above.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

If name of sole or first inventor CAFARELLI-DEES, Denise Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence The Baechus High Street, West Meon Near Petersfield, Hants, GU32 1LJ, United Kingdom
 Citizenship United States of America
 Post Office Address West Meon Near Petersfield, Hants, GU32 1LJ, United Kingdom

If name of second joint inventor, if any VON WALLENBERG, Ernst Ludwig Date 17.2.2002
 Inventor's Signature [Signature]
 Residence Gerbergasse 10A, D-79379, Muelheim, Germany
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address D-79379, Muelheim, Germany

If name of third joint inventor, if any DILLER, Norbert Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Tollwiesstrasse 19, CH-8700, Kusnacht, Switzerland
 Citizenship Switzerland
 Post Office Address CH-8700, Kusnacht, Switzerland

Full name of fourth joint inventor, if any LAI, Wai Kong Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Thurwiesenstrasse 7, CH-8037, Zurich, Switzerland
 Citizenship Malaysia
 Post Office Address CH-8037, Zurich, Switzerland

Full name of fifth joint inventor, if any NICOLAI, Jochen Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Muespacherstrasse 38, CH-4055, Basel, Switzerland
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address CH-4055, Basel, Switzerland

Full name of sixth joint inventor, if any STECKER, Mathias Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Ruetteberg 11, D-79294 Soelden, Germany
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address D-79294 Soelden, Germany

And I hereby appoint George Gottlieb (Reg.No. 22,035) Jeffrey M. Kaden (Reg. No. 31,268)
 Michael I. Rackman (Reg.No. 20,639) Amy B. Goldsmith (Reg. No. 33,700)
 James Reisman (Reg.No. 22,007) Norbert P. Holler (Reg.No. 17,816)
 Barry A. Cooper (Reg.No. 25,204) Tiberiu Weisz (Reg. No. 29,876)
 David S. Kashman (Reg.No. 28,725) Maria A. Savio (Reg. No. 31,565)
 Allen I. Rubenstein (Reg.No. 27,673) Raymond B. Churchill (Reg. No. 44,617)

whose address is Gottlieb, Rackman & Reisman, P.C., 270 Madison Avenue, New York NY 10016-0601 (telephone (212) 684-3900), jointly and severally, as my attorneys and/or agents, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Direct all correspondence and telephone calls to: Tiberiu WEISZ, Esq. at the address and telephone number shown above.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full name of sole or first inventor CAFARELLI-DEES, Denise
 Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____
 Residence The Baechus High Street, West Meon Near Petersfield, Hants. GU32 1LJ, United Kingdom
 Citizenship United States of America
 Post Office Address West Meon Near Petersfield, Hants. GU32 1LJ, United Kingdom

Full name of second joint inventor, if any VON WALLENBERG, Ernst Ludwig
 Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____
 Residence Gerbergasse 10A, D-79379, Muelheim, Germany
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address D-79379, Muelheim, Germany

Full name of third joint inventor, if any DILLER, Norbert
 Inventor's Signature _____ Date 24-3-2002
 Residence Tollwegstrasse 19, CH-8700, Kusnacht, Switzerland
 Citizenship Switzerland
 Post Office Address CH-8700, Kusnacht, Switzerland
CH 8032 Zürich Minervastr. 3, CH-8032 Zürich
CHX

Full name of fourth joint inventor, if any LAI, Wai Kong
 Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____
 Residence Thurwiesenstrasse 7, CH-8037, Zurich, Switzerland
 Citizenship Malaysia
 Post Office Address CH-8037, Zurich, Switzerland

Full name of fifth joint inventor, if any NICOLAI, Jochen
 Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____
 Residence Muespacherstrasse 38, CH-4055, Basel, Switzerland
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address CH-4055, Basel, Switzerland

Full name of sixth joint inventor, if any STECKER, Mathias
 Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____
 Residence Ruetteberg 11, D-79294 Soelden, Germany
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address D-79294 Soelden, Germany

And I hereby appoint George Gottlieb (Reg.No. 22,035) Jeffrey M. Kaden (Reg. No. 31,268)
 Michael I. Rackman (Reg.No. 20,639) Amy B. Goldsmith (Reg. No. 33,700)
 James Reisman (Reg.No. 22,007) Norbert P. Holler (Reg.No. 17,816)
 Barry A. Cooper (Reg.No. 25,204) Tiberiu Weisz (Reg. No. 29,876)
 David S. Kashman (Reg.No. 28,725) Maria A. Savio (Reg. No. 31,565)
 Allen I. Rubenstein (Reg.No. 27,673) Raymond B. Churchill (Reg. No. 44,617)

whose address is Gottlieb, Rackman & Reisman, P.C., 270 Madison Avenue, New York NY 10016-0601 (telephone (212) 684-3900), jointly and severally, as my attorneys and/or agents, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Direct all correspondence and telephone calls to: Tiberiu WEISZ, Esq. at the address and telephone number shown above.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

10006044-043002

I name of sole or first inventor CAFARELLI-DEES, Denise Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence The Baechus High Street, West Meon Near Petersfield, Hants, GU32 1LJ, United Kingdom
 Citizenship United States of America
 Post Office Address West Meon Near Petersfield, Hants, GU32 1LJ, United Kingdom

II name of second joint inventor, if any VON WALLENBERG, Ernst Ludwig Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Gerbergasse 10A, D-79379, Muelheim, Germany
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address D-79379, Muelheim, Germany

III name of third joint inventor, if any DILLER, Norbert Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Tollwiesstrasse 19, CH-8700, Kusnacht, Switzerland
 Citizenship Switzerland
 Post Office Address CH-8700, Kusnacht, Switzerland

Full name of fourth joint inventor, if any LAI, Wai Kong Date 18.03.2002
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Thurwiesenstrasse 7, CH-8037, Zurich, Switzerland
 Citizenship Malaysia
 Post Office Address CH-8037, Zurich, Switzerland

Full name of fifth joint inventor, if any NICOLAI, Jochen Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Muespacherstrasse 38, CH-4055, Basel, Switzerland
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address CH-4055, Basel, Switzerland

Full name of sixth joint inventor, if any STECKER, Mathias Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Ruetteberg 11, D-79294 Soelden, Germany
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address D-79294 Soelden, Germany

And I hereby appoint George Gottlieb (Reg.No. 22,035) Jeffrey M. Kaden (Reg. No. 31,268)
 Michael I. Rackman (Reg.No. 20,639) Amy B. Goldsmith (Reg. No. 33,700)
 James Reisman (Reg.No. 22,007) Norbert P. Holler (Reg.No. 17,816)
 Barry A. Cooper (Reg.No. 25,204) Tiberiu Weisz (Reg. No. 29,876)
 David S. Kashman (Reg.No. 28,725) Maria A. Savio (Reg. No. 31,565)
 Allen I. Rubenstein (Reg.No. 27,673) Raymond B. Churchill (Reg. No. 44,617)

whose address is Gottlieb, Rackman & Reisman, P.C., 270 Madison Avenue, New York NY 10016-0601 (telephone (212) 684-3900), jointly and severally, as my attorneys and/or agents, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Direct all correspondence and telephone calls to: Tiberiu WEISZ, Esq. at the address and telephone number shown above.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full name of sole or first inventor CAFARELLI-DEES, Denise
 Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____
 Residence The Baechus High Street, West Meon Near Petersfield, Hants, GU32 1LJ, United
 Citizenship Kingdom
 Post Office Address United States of America
West Meon Near Petersfield, Hants, GU32 1LJ, United Kingdom

Full name of second joint inventor, if any VON WALLENBERG, Ernst Ludwig
 Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____
 Residence Gerbergasse 10A, D-79379, Muelheim, Germany
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address D-79379, Muelheim, Germany

Full name of third joint inventor, if any DILLER, Norbert
 Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____
 Residence Tollwiesstrasse 19, CH-8700, Kusnacht, Switzerland
 Citizenship Switzerland
 Post Office Address CH-8700, Kusnacht, Switzerland

Full name of fourth joint inventor, if any LAI, Wai Kong
 Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____
 Residence Thurwiesenstrasse 7, CH-8037, Zurich, Switzerland
 Citizenship Malaysia
 Post Office Address CH-8037, Zurich, Switzerland

Full name of fifth joint inventor, if any NICOLAI, Jochen
 Inventor's Signature _____ Date 07/02/02
 Residence Muespacherstrasse 38, CH-4055, Basel, Switzerland
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address CH-4055, Basel, Switzerland

Full name of sixth joint inventor, if any STECKER, Mathias
 Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____
 Residence Ruetteberg 11, D-79294 Soelden, Germany
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address D-79294 Soelden, Germany

600
Full name of ^{sixth} joint inventor, if any LASZIG, Roland

Inventor's Signature [Signature]

Date

Residence

Hohenzollernweg 10, D-79224 Umkirch, Germany

Citizenship

Germany

Post Office Address

D-79224 Umkirch, GermanyFull name of eighth joint inventor, if any MUELLER-DELLE, Joachim

Inventor's Signature

Date

Residence

Königstrasse 13, D-24159 Kiel, Germany

Citizenship

Germany

Post Office Address

D-24159 Kiel, Germany

LF1A.12/99

Full name of seventh joint inventor, if any LASZIG, Roland
Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____
Residence Hohenzollernweg 10, D-79224 Umkirch, Germany
Citizenship Germany
Post Office Address D-79224 Umkirch, Germany

700
Full name of ^{Seventh} eighth joint inventor, if any MUELLER-DELLE, Joachim
Inventor's Signature J. Mueller-Delle Date 14.2.02
Residence Konigstrasse 13, D-24159 Kiel, Germany DEX
Citizenship Germany
Post Office Address D-24159 Kiel, Germany

LFIA.12/99

200640" 44060001

And I hereby appoint George Gottlieb (Reg.No. 22,035) Jeffrey M. Kaden (Reg. No. 31,268)
 Michael I. Rackman (Reg.No. 20,639) Amy B. Goldsmith (Reg. No. 33,700)
 James Reisman (Reg.No. 22,007) Norbert P. Holler (Reg.No. 17,816)
 Barry A. Cooper (Reg.No. 25,204) Tiberiu Weisz (Reg. No. 29,876)
 David S. Kashman (Reg.No. 28,725) Maria A. Savio (Reg. No. 31,565)
 Allen I. Rubenstein (Reg.No. 27,673) Raymond B. Churchill (Reg. No. 44,617)

whose address is Gottlieb, Rackman & Reisman, P.C., 270 Madison Avenue, New York NY 10016-0601 (telephone (212) 684-3900), jointly and severally, as my attorneys and/or agents, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Direct all correspondence and telephone calls to: Tiberiu WEISZ, Esq. at the address and telephone number shown above.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full name of ~~sole or first~~ ^{eight joint} inventor CAFARELLI-DEES, Denise Date 15.02.2002
 Inventor's Signature [Signature]
 Residence The Baechus High Street, West Meon Near Petersfield, Hants. GU32 1LJ, United Kingdom
 Citizenship Kingdom
 Post Office Address United States of America
West Meon Near Petersfield, Hants. GU32 1LJ, United Kingdom

Full name of second joint inventor, if any VON WALLENBERG, Ernst Ludwig Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Gerbergasse 10A, D-79379, Muelheim, Germany
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address D-79379, Muelheim, Germany


Full name of third joint inventor, if any DILLER, Norbert Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Tollwiesstrasse 19, CH-8700, Kusnacht, Switzerland
 Citizenship Switzerland
 Post Office Address CH-8700, Kusnacht, Switzerland

Full name of fourth joint inventor, if any LAI, Wai Kong Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Thurwiesenstrasse 7, CH-8037, Zurich, Switzerland
 Citizenship Malaysia
 Post Office Address CH-8037, Zurich, Switzerland

Full name of fifth joint inventor, if any NICOLAI, Jochen Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Muespacherstrasse 38, CH-4055, Basel, Switzerland
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address CH-4055, Basel, Switzerland

Full name of sixth joint inventor, if any STECKER, Mathias Date _____
 Inventor's Signature _____
 Residence Ruetteberg 11, D-79294 Soelden, Germany
 Citizenship Germany
 Post Office Address D-79294 Soelden, Germany

United States Patent & Trademark Office
Office of Initial Patent Examination -- Scanning Division



Application deficiencies found during scanning:

☐ Page(s) _____ of _____ were not present
for scanning. (Document title)

☐ Page(s) _____ of _____ were not present
for scanning. (Document title)

Scanned copy is best available. Some Figures are dark.